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Abstract

Background: Dental fluorosis is a developmental disturbance characterized by excess fluoride in hard tissues of the teeth. The appearance of teeth affected by dental fluorosis may negatively affect individuals’ self-esteem and overall quality of life. Hence, the need for treatment, although there is still debate on the best treatment modalities. The objective of this study was to document the presentation and management of dental fluorosis in a resource limited facility in North-Central Nigeria.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional hospital-based study conducted among outpatients attending a secondary oral health care facility in Jos, North-Central Nigeria between June 2020 and June 2022. Sociodemographic characteristics were recorded. All patients were examined for presence of dental fluorosis. Dean’s Index was used to classify dental fluorosis. Patients with dental fluorosis who consented to the study were treated. Treatment modalities included oral prophylactic treatment, micro-abrasion, and resin infiltration. Data analysis was by the use of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23.0. A p-value of < 0.5 was taken as statistically significant.

Results: During the study period, 1201 patients presented with different oral health challenges. Among these, 200 (16.7%) were diagnosed of dental fluorosis. The mean of the patients with dental fluorosis was 14.15 ± 1.91 years. Nearly half, 98 (49.0%), of the patients who presented with dental fluorosis were children, 65 (32.5%) were teenagers, and 37(18.5%) were young adults (p=0.037). Among the patients, 123 (61.5%) were females. The moderate type of dental fluorosis 75(37.5%) was the commonest type of dental fluorosis seen. Out of the 200 patients with dental fluorosis, dental caries was
present among 55 (37.5%) patients. 185 (92.5%) requested for treatment. 231 intervention procedures were undertaken on different classes of dental fluorosis.

**Conclusion:** Dental fluorosis was prevalent among the dental out-patients seen at the study center during the study period. More patients presented with moderate class of dental fluorosis. Presentation was more among children and teenagers below 20 years. More females presented and requested for intervention than males. Resin infiltration was found to be cost effective at this facility.
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**Introduction**

Dental fluorosis is a developmental disturbance characterized by excess fluoride in hard tissues of the teeth.\(^1\) It is a common presentation in many oral health care facilities in Nigeria, among all age groups and sexes.\(^1,2\) Tooth affected by dental fluorosis may present with mottling of enamel, unpleasant appearance, esthetic embarrassment, and may negatively affect individual self-esteem and overall quality of life.\(^1,2,3\) Other clinical features of dental fluorosis apart from enamel mottling include: white striation, brown discoloration, pitting of enamel, and in severe cases, corroded appearance of teeth.\(^1,2,4\) While fluoride is needed for healthy teeth development, remineralisation, and dental caries prevention, excess fluoride can become toxic to the body and lead to dental and skeletal fluorosis.\(^3,5\) Bone and teeth are therefore major markers for fluoride in the body apart from saliva, milk, nails and urine.\(^5,6\) The pathogenesis of dental fluorosis is due to disturbances in the activities of a specialized cell called ameloblast during enamel formation and mineralization of organic matrix in tooth development.\(^3,7\) To prevent dental fluorosis, 0.05 to 0.07mg F/Kg body weight/day intake is recommended.\(^4\)

While prevalence of dental fluorosis varies from country to country, there is still debate on the best treatment modalities. Dental fluorosis has been mostly reported among people in less developed than developed countries,\(^3\) due to relative scarcity of treated water consumed by the public in the former. Surface water contains less fluoride concentration (<1.5mg/L) than underground water.\(^5,8\) Underground water from boreholes and wells, without chemical analysis and treatments, is a major source of drinking water in many communities in Nigeria. Fluoride concentration in drinking water within 0.5-1mg/l benefits the body, but a concentration higher than 1.5 mg/L constitute a risk of dental and skeletal fluorosis.\(^5,9\) Although the leading cause of fluorosis is a higher concentration of fluoride in drinking water, \(^9,12\) fluorosis has also been reported in areas where fluoride concentration in drinking water is lower or even within the safety level.\(^5\) Fluorosis in this situation was
Ascribed to high environmental temperature that induced a higher water consumption rate or fluoride from other sources. Other documented sources of fluoride include vegetables, milk, beverages, salt, food, tea, tobacco, and tooth paste. According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, a concentration of 0.7-1.2mg/l fluoride in drinking water is adequate for protection against dental caries and cannot lead to fluorosis. It has equally been recommended that 0.9-1 part per million of fluoride in public water is adequate.

Dental fluorosis affects all ages; however, higher prevalence has been reported among 15-year-olds and below. Nevertheless, the relationship between dental fluorosis and sex, environmental condition and dental caries is inconclusive. Among teenagers, a prevalence of 72% was reported in India, 91.9% in Mexico, 91% in Ethiopia, 11.3% and 11.4% in Nigeria. A Nigerian study also reported 47% as proportion of patients attending a tertiary hospital in northeastern part of the country who presented with dental fluorosis. Fluoride levels are low in most parts of Nigeria, being 0.3 ppm or less in 62% of the LGAs. However, fluoride concentrations were generally higher in the north-central geopolitical zone from all drinking water sources, than the other zones in the country. Nonetheless, the magnitude of dental fluorosis and its management among patients is yet to be fully documented in North-Central Nigeria, hence this study. The objective of this study was to document the presentation and management of dental fluorosis in a resource limited facility in North-Central Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional hospital-based study was conducted among out-patients attending a secondary oral health care facility (Our Lady of Apostle Hospital) in Jos, North-Central Nigeria. Our Lady of Apostle Hospital was established in 1943 by the Catholic Mission. It was to serve Plateau and neighboring states. The fluoride level (ppm) of the different water sources in this zone are 0.70±0.62 for water works, 0.41±0.31 for rivers and streams, 0.96±0.81 for shallow wells, 0.67±1.28 for deep wells, 0.68±0.75 for boreholes, and 0.44±0.24 for ponds. The hospital attends to all religious faiths. The Dental clinic component was established in 2016. This clinic is run by a maxillo-facial surgeon and a family dentist. There is one dental health technician, no therapist, no technologist and no laboratory facility.

This study took place between June 2020 and June 2022. In 2021, there were about 720 patients seen in the clinic. Most of them (80.4%) were on social health insurance scheme (National Health Insurance Scheme). The others were out of pocket treatment. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Our Lady of Apostle Hospital, the study center. Inclusion criteria for the study were presence of dental fluorosis in oral cavity of patients and their willingness to participate in the study. The detail of the study, especially the treatment modality, was thoroughly explained to
them after which those who were interested in participating signed a written, informed consent form. For pediatric patients, their parents or legal guardians signed the consent form.

Information on sociodemographic characteristics such as age and sex as well as the date of first visit, principal complaint, history of presenting complaint, and initial treatment were recorded. All patients were dentally examined for presence of dental fluorosis by a trained and calibrated examiner. Investigations which included pulp vitality, radiographic and mobility tests, were undertaken. Dean’s Index was used to classify dental fluorosis, while DMFT index was used to report the presence of dental caries. All patients who had dental fluorosis and signed the written, informed consent form were treated by only one dentist (E.A) while those who had dental caries were referred to the restorative dentist for treatment.


Routine scaling using ultrasonic scaler (Woodpecker made by Guilin woodpecker, Guangxi, China 2019) was carried out as first line of treatment, and prophylaxis paste without fluoride content was used for polishing the teeth. Patients that were still not satisfied with the appearance of their teeth after scaling and polishing were recalled 72 hours after for micro abrasion and or resin infiltration. Due to high cost of resin infiltration, attention was paid to teeth that were within the esthetic zone i.e., the anterior teeth and premolars. Consequently, the labial and buccal surfaces of lower/upper anterior teeth and first premolars with moderate and severe dental fluorosis were selected for resin infiltration. Applying the resin infiltration, Manufacturer’s instructions (Henry Schein INC. USA) were followed and the labial or buccal surfaces of the selected teeth were acid etched with Natural Elegance Etching gel (Henry Schein INC. USA, 2020) containing phosphoric acid for 2 minutes after isolation with rubber dam. The etched surfaces were rinsed with sterile water for 30 seconds and then dried with air jet. Bonding agent (Henry Schein INC. USA 2020) containing Natural Elegance Universal Bond was applied on etched surfaces and was light cured. Appropriate resin shade (A1 or B3) chosen by each patient was applied on etched surfaces and light cured in layers until there was improvement in the appearance. Excess materials were removed from the interdental surfaces and polishing of the restoration was later done after 24-48 hours. After treatments, patients were followed-up for 3, 6, 12 and 18 months after initial treatments. A digital camera was used by the dentist to document the presentation of dental fluorosis on the teeth before and after treatment.
Data obtained were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS) version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, 11, USA). The patients’ ages were grouped into 0-9 years (children), 10-20 years (preteen ages and teenagers) and above 20 years (adults). Frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were generated. Association between categorical variables were undertaken using chi-square test at $p$-value of $<0.5$ test of statistically significant.

**Results**

During the study period, 1201 patients presented with oral health challenges. Among these patients, 255 complained of teeth discoloration, of which 200 (16.7%) were diagnosed of dental fluorosis using Dean’s Index. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1.9.7$^{19}$ at an $\alpha$ of 0.05, sample size of 200, medium effect size of 0.3 and a degree of freedom of 3 for $\chi^2$ tests gave the Power of the study $(1 – \beta)$ as 0.959. The mean ± SD age of the patients with dental fluorosis was 14.15 ± 1.91 years. Table 1 shows that the majority, 98 (49.0%), of the patients who presented with dental fluorosis were children, 65(32.5%) were preteen ages and teenagers and 37(18.5%) were young adults ($p=0.037$).

Among the patients, 123 (61.5%) were females while 77 (38.5%) were males ($p=0.003$). The majority, 13 (65.0%), of patients who presented with questionable dental fluorosis were children while the majority, 20 (50.0%), that presented with severe dental fluorosis were young adults. Except in moderate dental fluorosis, females presented more with questionable 12 (60.0%), mild 40 (61.5%), and severe 34(85.0%) dental fluorosis than males with corresponding values of 4 (40.0%), 25 (38.5%) and 6 (15.0%) respectively.

**Table 1:** Dental fluorosis presentation among patients by age and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodata</th>
<th>Classes of dental fluorosis</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questionable</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age(years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>13(65.0)</td>
<td>35(53.8)</td>
<td>40(53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preteen ages</td>
<td>2(10.0)</td>
<td>10(15.4)</td>
<td>15(20.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
teenagers
(10-19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>5(25.0)</th>
<th>20(30.8)</th>
<th>20(26.7)</th>
<th>20(50.0)</th>
<th>65(32.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(≥20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>8(40.0)</th>
<th>25(38.5)</th>
<th>38(50.7)</th>
<th>6(15.0)</th>
<th>77(38.5)</th>
<th>14.037</th>
<th>0.003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12(60.0)</td>
<td>40(61.5)</td>
<td>37(49.3)</td>
<td>34(85.0)</td>
<td>123(61.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD age = 14.15±1.91 years Freq. = Frequency

**Figure 1** shows that the differences in the presentation of various types of dental fluorosis was statistically significant (p<0.05), with most, 75 (37.5%), patients presenting with moderate types while the least, 20 (10.0%), presented with severe types. There was no patient with very mild type of dental fluorosis.

![Graph showing distribution of dental fluorosis types](image)

**Figure 1**: Distribution Dean’s Index of Dental fluorosis among the patients.

Table 2 shows there was no statistically significant relationship between occurrence of dental caries and classes of dental fluorosis among patients (p=0.245). Among the 200 patients with different degree of dental fluorosis, dental caries was present among 55 (37.5%) patients.
Table 2: Relationship between dental caries and different classes of dental fluorosis among patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dental Caries</th>
<th>Dental caries</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (%)</td>
<td>Frequency (%)</td>
<td>Frequency (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionable</td>
<td>8(14.5)</td>
<td>12(8.3)</td>
<td>20(10.0)</td>
<td>4.157</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>20(36.4)</td>
<td>45(31.0)</td>
<td>65(32.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>15(27.3)</td>
<td>60(41.4)</td>
<td>75(37.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>12(21.8)</td>
<td>28(19.3)</td>
<td>40(20.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 200 patients with dental fluorosis, 185 requested for treatment and 231 intervention procedures were undertaken on different classes of dental fluorosis. There was a statistically significant relationship between the intervention procedures and classes of dental fluorosis ($p=0.001$). The different interventions administered to the patients are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Intervention modalities given to patients with classes of dental fluorosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S&amp;P</th>
<th>Micro abrasion</th>
<th>Resin Infiltration</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
<td>Freq. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionable</td>
<td>15(8.2)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>15(6.5)</td>
<td>46.092</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>60(32.4)</td>
<td>2(16.7)</td>
<td>1(2.9)</td>
<td>63(27.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>70(37.8)</td>
<td>10(83.3)</td>
<td>10(29.4)</td>
<td>90(39.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>40(21.6)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>23(67.7)</td>
<td>63(27.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Freq. = Frequency

Among those who received resin infiltration, 23 (67.7%) presented with severe dental fluorosis while among those that had micro abrasion, 10 (83.3%) had moderate dental fluorosis.
Figures 2a and 2b show clinical pictures taken before and after treatment of a 29-year-old man who presented with discolorations of 11 and 12 teeth. He was diagnosed with mild fluorosis and resin infiltration was done on teeth 11 and 12 after scaling and polishing of all the teeth.

![Figure 2a](image1.png)  ![Figure 2b](image2.png)

Figures 3a and 3b show clinical pictures taken before and after treatment of a 36-year-old woman who presented with discolored teeth. The complaint was dissatisfaction in the appearances of her teeth, psychological embarrassment, and loss of confidence while speaking in the public. Diagnosis of moderate dental fluorosis was made and resin infiltration was undertaken on the upper right and left anteriors and first premolars — 11, 12, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23 and 24 after routine scaling and polishing.

![Figure 3a](image3.png)  ![Figure 3b](image4.png)

Figures 4a and 4b show clinical pictures taken before and after intervention procedures (resin infiltration after scaling and polishing) were undertaken on upper and lower anteriors and first premolars (11,12, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 31, 32, 33, 34, 41, 42, 43 and 44) of severely fluorosed teeth of a 22-year-old young lady.
Figures 5a and 5b show a 17-year-old young man’s clinical pictures taken before and after receiving resin infiltration procedures on the following teeth — 11, 12, 13, 21, 22, 23, 31, 32, 33, 41, 42, and 43, which were severely fluoroosed.

Figures 6a and 6b show the clinical pictures of a 27-year-old lady who presented with severe dental fluorosis on 11, 12, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 31, 32, 33, 34, 41, 42, 43, and 44. After scaling and polishing, resin infiltration was done on teeth and glass ionomer cement was applied to cover the exposed dentine where there was gross loss of enamel structure. The restorations on many of the teeth were found to be intact for 18 months except on teeth 31 and 41 of the 27-year-old lady that were re-treated.
Discussion

In this present study, the majority of the patients who presented with dental fluorosis were children and adolescents as shown by their mean age of 14.15 years which could be the reason why nearly all studies on dental fluorosis have been conducted with children and adolescents.\textsuperscript{18,20-22} Presentation of dental fluorosis was more among the children and adolescents who were below 20 years compared to adults who were above 20 years. Results from this study on age groups of patients in relationship with dental fluorosis presentation in the clinic conformed to previous reports of dental fluorosis which showed that the condition is more common among children than adults.\textsuperscript{15, 23} The quest for better appearance of teeth among the children and adolescents compared to adults may be the reason why there was a higher proportion of patients who were in the younger age group than those who were in the older age group.

Females presented with more dental fluorosis than males as reported in other previous studies.\textsuperscript{24-27} However, these results were at variance with findings from other studies that reported that dental fluorosis was higher among males than females\textsuperscript{23,28} and no sex preponderance.\textsuperscript{29} The higher proportion of female patients than male patients presenting with dental fluorosis was also observed in the classes of fluorosis presentation where more females presented all classes of dental Fluorosis, except in the moderate class where males were slightly more. Also, patients with severe dental fluorosis were more among females than males. This is in agreement with a previous report where there were more patients with severe dental fluorosis compared to any other class of dental fluorosis presented in the clinic.\textsuperscript{2} The unpleasant appearance of teeth in severe dental fluorosis may be the major reason for these findings. More females presenting with severe dental fluorosis in this study may be due to females’ consciousness of better aesthetic appearance than males.

The preponderance of female patients presenting with dental fluorosis in this study may be attributed to the fact that more females sought dental care than males as reported previously in other clinically based studies.\textsuperscript{30, 31} It may also be as a result of females having more concern and seeking for better appearance of their teeth and that of their children compared to male patients. The prevalence of dental fluorosis was 11.4% and 11.3% among secondary school adolescents in South West and South East Nigeria respectively.\textsuperscript{15, 16} This prevalence was lower than 16.7%, the proportion of patients who presented with dental fluorosis in this present study. The observed differences may be due to differences in study design. Results of classification of dental fluorosis using Deans Index among the patients showed that more patients presented with moderate dental fluorosis as compared to other classes of dental fluorosis. This is in agreement with results from a previous study,\textsuperscript{23} and contrary to another study where mild fluorosis was reported to be preponderant among school aged children.\textsuperscript{15}
Assessment of dental caries on teeth affected by dental fluorosis among patients showed no statistically significant relationship in agreement with a previous study where there was no relationship between the severity of dental fluorosis and dental caries, but contrary to results from other previous studies where the severity of dental fluorosis was reported to have a significant reduction on caries development. Although the initiation and progression of dental caries was strongly linked to fluoride content of daily water consumed, the lack of significant relationship between the classes of dental fluorosis and dental caries in this study may be due to multifactorial etiology of dental caries. Other factors such as oral hygiene practices, refined sugar diet, and presence of cariogenic bacteria play important roles.

Among the patients who were seen at the oral health care facility during the study period, a proportion of 200 (16.7%) were diagnosed of dental fluorosis. Out of the patients diagnosed of dental fluorosis, 185 (92.5%) requested for treatment due to unpleasant appearances of their teeth. This proportion was higher than the result from a similar study where 9.3% of patients with dental fluorosis requested for intervention. Interventions were carried out among 185 out of 200 patients diagnosed with dental fluorosis and the aim was to improve appearances and enhance the patients’ self-esteem. All the intervention procedures and the cost implications were explained to each patient to allow them make an informed choice. While all the patients received scaling and polishing, others however received multiple treatments among which were scaling and polishing, micro abrasion, and resin infiltration. The treatment modalities of dental fluorosis in this study conformed with previous studies. The majority of patients who had micro abrasion as a treatment modality were in the classes of mild and moderate dental fluorosis. This also conforms to findings from a previous report of treatment options among patients with dental fluorosis. Results from this present study shows that only one patient with mild fluorosis had resin infiltration while majority of the patients with severe dental fluorosis received resin infiltration. This was probably due to the degree of the dental fluorosis as it affects appearances of the teeth, cost of treatment, and a better appearance after treatment. The outcome of resin infiltration significantly improved the recipient’s appearances and this is in agreement with other previous studies. The patients were monitored for/between 1-2 years with the majority having their resin restorations intact.

Conclusion

Dental fluorosis causes low self-esteem and negatively affects quality of life among dental patients. It was a reason for dental visit as a significant proportion of the dental out-patients sought for intervention at the study center. Presentation was more among children and teenagers below 20 years. More females presented and requested for intervention than the males. Resin infiltration was found to be cost effective at this facility. More patients presented with moderate class of dental fluorosis, and
dental caries infection was found not to be significantly related to any specific class of dental fluorosis in this study.
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