

Assessment of Maximum Mouth Opening of Public Primary and Secondary School Children in Ibadan, Nigeria.

*Popoola BO, **Gbolahan OO

*Department of Child Oral Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan/
University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

**Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Ibadan/
University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

Correspondence: Gbolahan OO

Email: gbolahanlere@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Excessive opening of the mouth has been reported to cause clinical problems such as luxations and traumatic injury to the temporomandibular joint (TMJ). The knowledge of the normal range of mouth opening will help to avoid excessive opening of the mouth which can cause injury to the TMJ of patients during procedures that involve mandibular manipulations. Maximum mouth opening (MMO) has age, race, gender and ethnic variations. Previous studies have looked at normal range of mouth opening among adults, but to the best of our knowledge, no study has looked at this among the paediatric/children population in Nigeria. Thus, this study aimed to determine the normal maximum mouth opening (MMO) among the paediatric population in Ibadan (South Western part of Nigeria) and the effect of gender, age, height and weight of these children on their MMO.

Materials and methods: Six hundred and nine primary and secondary school pupils aged 6 – 15 years were included in this study. Patients with any condition affecting the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) function were not included. Measurements of height, weight and two readings of maximum interincisal distance were performed for each participant. Student's t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Pearson bivariate correlation were used to assess the sample.

Results: The mean MMO was 44.0 ± 5.3 mm and 42.6 ± 5.6 mm for males and females respectively. There was no significant difference in the MMO of males and females ($t = 0.335$, $p = 0.563$). MMO increases gradually with age irrespective of the gender and this was statistically significant ($F = 17.1$, $p = 0.001$).

Conclusion: The maximum mouth opening established for the paediatric population in this study will help practitioners whose care involves the stomathognathic system to have information about the normal range of mouth opening in this group of patients. The result will also be useful as baseline for future research.

Keywords: Maximum mouth opening, paediatric, temporo-mandibular joint.

Introduction

Excessive opening of the mouth during treatment that involves mandibular manipulation can cause luxations and traumatic injury to the temporomandibular joint (TMJ)¹. A knowledge of the normal

range of mouth opening may help to avoid excessive opening of the mouth that can cause injury to the TMJ during procedures that involve mandibular manipulation. MMO is influenced by an interplay of a number of factors including genetic, morphologic, anatomic and functional factors^{2,3}. Kumar et al.

opined that the gradual increase in MMO with increasing age is due to changes in the temporomandibular joint apparatus, facial morphology, muscle development and growth of cranial base and mandible particularly in length². Studies have shown that the functional capacity of muscles of mastication is positively correlated with anterior facial height and the dental arch^{3,4}.

Various studies have established that mouth opening varies with age, race, gender and ethnicity^{5,6,7}. This has prompted researchers to determine the normal range of mouth opening for different populations. Few studies have reported normal range of mouth opening in Nigeria^{8,9} but to the best of our knowledge none has described the normal range of mouth opening in the paediatric population. Thus, our aim was to determine the normal maximum mouth opening (MMO) in Nigerian children and to find out the effect of their gender, age, height and weight on maximum mouth opening (MMO). Outcomes from this study will be useful as baseline for future research.

Patients and Methods

The eligible participants were pupils between the ages of 6 – 15 years in public primary and secondary schools within Ibadan North Local Government Area in Ibadan metropolis. Demographic data and previous history of trauma to TMJ, pain or clicking sound at rest or during jaw movements, head and neck pain disorders and oral habits were noted with the use of a questionnaire designed for the purpose. Systemic diseases, neurological disorders or craniofacial deformities that could affect mouth opening were also ruled with the use of the questionnaire. This was followed by clinical examination and inspection of the preauricular area for swellings, erythema, or tenderness. Palpation was done directly over the TMJ when the patient opened and closed the mandible, and the extent of the mandibular condylar movement and deviation of the mandible during the opening was also observed. Only those who met the inclusion criteria participated in the study while those with TMJ limiting abnormalities were excluded, counseled and referred to the hospital for further assessment and management.

The exclusion criteria were presence of severe orthodontic problems, neurological disorders, craniofacial deformities, systemic affection of the joint and neck pain. Also, excluded were those who had traumatized, fractured or crowned incisors, those with missing central incisors, those on

orthodontic treatment and those who did not assent and those whose parents did not consent to participate in the study.

Three hundred and six males and three hundred and three females participated in the study. The weight and height of each participant were taken after which their maximum mouth opening was measured by measuring the distance from the incisal edge of the central maxillary incisors to incisal edge of the corresponding mandibular incisors intraorally. The participant was asked to relax, sit upright with the head perpendicularly resting against the wall and asked to open as wide as possible without feeling pain after two minutes. Individual transparent plastic ruler with a millimetre scale was passively placed between the edges of upper and lower central incisors at the midline. The measurement was recorded to the nearest millimetre as the first reading. This measurement was repeated and recorded as second reading after the participant had rested for at least 5 minutes after the first measurement. The mean of the two readings was recorded for each participant as the MMO. To avoid inter-examiner variability, one investigator carried out all measurements. A pilot study was first carried out to test intra-examiner reliability. The pilot study included 30 pupils aged 8-12 years in a school outside the area of study. Pupils were examined on two separate occasions 2 weeks apart. The investigator was blinded to previous results at the second examination. The intra-examiner reliability showed 93% agreement with weighted kappa coefficient of 0.85.

All data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics of MMO with regards to gender and age groups were reported. Student's t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to examine MMO relative to gender and age groups. Pearson bivariate correlation was used to assess relationship between MMO, height and weight in the participants. For all statistical analysis, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Six hundred and nine children participated in this study, their anthropometric data is as shown in Table 1. There were 306 (50.2%) males and 303 (49.8%) females with mean ages of 11.4 ± 2.4 years and 11.0 ± 2.3 years respectively. Mean height and weight according to the gender are as shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Anthropometric data of the participants based on gender.

Gender	n(%)	Mean Age± SD (years)	Mean Height ±SD (m)	Mean Weight ± SD (Kg)	Mean MMO±SD (mm)
Male	306(50.2)	11.4 ±2.4	1.43 ± 0.55	30.90 ± 8.09	44.0 ± 5.3
Female	303(49.8)	11.0 ± 2.3	1.39 ± 0.22	31.69 ± 9.54	42.0 ± 5.8
Total	609(100.0)	11.2 ± 2.4	1.41 ± 0.42	31.30 ± 8.84	43.0 ± 5.6
			P >0.05	P >0.05	P >.0.05

The difference in the mean height and weight of males and females was not statistically significant ($p = >0.05$ for height and weight). The mean MMO for males was 44.0 ± 5.3 mm and for females was 42.6

± 5.6 mm. There was no significant difference in the MMO of males and females ($t = 0.335$, $p = 0.563$). Table 2 shows the MMO according to the age groups and gender (**Table 2**).

Table 2: Maximum mouth opening according to gender and age groups.

Age groups (years)	Gender	N	Mean ± SD (mm)	Minimum (mm)	Maximum (mm)	P value
6 - 7	Male	23	39.2 ± 5.1	30.0	50.0	0.525
	Female	23	37.0 ± 5.0	30.0	48.5	
	Total	46	38.0 ± 5.0	30.0	48.5	
8 - 9	Male	45	41.1 ± 4.4	33.0	53.5	0.710
	Female	58	40.4 ± 0.5	32.5	52.0	
	Total	103	40.7 ± 4.8	32.5	53.5	
10 - 11	Male	90	44.2 ± 4.7	34.5	56.5	0.106
	Female	80	42.9 ± 5.9	34.0	55.0	
	Total	170	43.5 ± 5.3	34.0	56.5	
12 -13	Male	79	46.0 ± 5.0	37.0	58.0	0.160
	Female	94	45.4 ± 6.1	36.0	58.0	
	Total	173	45.5 ± 5.6	36.0	58.0	
14 - 15	Male	69	48.2 ± 5.5	39.0	63.0	0.310
	Female	48	47.3 ± 5.2	38.5	62.0	
	Total	117	47.8 ± 5.1	38.5	63.0	

ANOVA ($F = 17.1$, $p = 0.001$)

MMO increases gradually with age irrespective of the gender and this was statistically significant ($F = 17.1$, $p = 0.001$) but no significant difference was found in MMO of males and females irrespective of the age

groups ($P > 0.05$). There was also gradual increase in the height and weight of the children with age which was statistically significant ($p = 0.001$ for height and $p = 0.002$ for weight) (**Table 3**).

Table 3: Maximum mouth opening, weight and height according to the age groups.

Age groups (years)	Mean MMO± SD (mm)	Mean Height ± SD (m)	Mean weight ±SD (kg)
6-7	38.0 ± 5.0	1.18 ± 0.12	19.9 ± 3.20
8-9	40.7 ± 4.8	1.35 ± 0.89	23.9 ± 3.54
10-11	43.5 ± 5.3	1.37 ± 0.25	28.75 ± 4.31
12-13	45.5 ± 5.6	1.45 ± 0.24	33.69 ± 6.82
14-15	47.8 ± 5.1	1.53 ± 0.08	41.69 ± 7.30

Using Pearson bivariate correlation, there was a positive correlation between MMO and weight that was statistically significant ($Rho = 0.32; p = 0.000$). Similarly, a statistical significant positive correlation was also noted between MMO and height ($Rho = 0.08; p = 0.000$) and equally significant (**Table 3**).

Discussion

The mean MMO obtained for the participants was 44.0 mm and 42.6 mm for males and females respectively. Our values are lower than that obtained by Kumar et al. and Oguta et al.^{2,10}, higher than those of Dueñas et al. and Cortese et al.^{11,12} but similar to the value of 45.9mm reported by Landtwig¹³ in children aged five to nineteen years. Differences observed could be due to different methodologies employed by different researchers. In the study of Dueñas et al, 6-year old participants were examined¹¹, in the study of Cortese et al, participants with ages ranging from 3-13 years were examined¹² while in the present study participants with ages ranging from 6-15 years were examined. Age has been reported to have a strong influence on the range of mandibular opening by previous documented studies^{14,15}. This has been attributed to variation in weight and stature, which bear strong influence on mouth opening especially in children¹¹. Kumar employed the use of 'modified' vernier caliper in the measurement of MMO², Dueñas et al. employed the use of digital vernier calipers¹¹ whereas in the present study, transparent ruler was employed. Another possible reason for the observed differences could be due to ethnic differences in facial morphology which could have significant impact on MMO¹⁶.

Measurement of MMO could be by intraoral^{2,17,18,19} or extraoral^{20,21} approach. However from documented literature, the intraoral approach seems to be more employed than the extraoral approach. The intraoral approach was employed in this study to ensure stable points of measurement for enhanced accuracy. The soft tissue landmarks that are normally used for the

extraoral approach could be prone to movement which may introduce errors during MMO measurement²¹. MMO is measured as interincisal distance or interincisal distance plus overbite²². We made use of interincisal distance in this study like other previous studies^{21,23,24,25} because it represents the functional opening of the mouth which is clinically relevant in terms of chewing and dental treatment^{2,26}. Measurement is usually done either as active mouth opening or passive mouth opening. In this study measurement was done as active MMO similar to previous studies^{7,27}, although some other studies utilized passive MMO^{28,29}. Dijkstra et al stated that the variable force applied to obtain passive mouth opening has the tendency to introduce error³⁰.

Different devices have been employed by different authors in the intraoral method of measurement of MMO with most of them achieving satisfactory result^{2,8,17,31}. Transparent plastic metre rule was employed in this study similar to several other studies^{27,28}. Wood and Branco in comparing three methods of measuring maximal opening of the mouth concluded that direct measurement using a metre rule was the most accurate²⁰. We found this method to be easy, quick, convenient and accurate. In the literature, measurement of MMO was either taken once^{18,19,32} or more than once^{2,6} with the average of the measurements^{2,7,31} or the highest measurement taken^{6,21}. In this study, we recorded the average of two readings. We assumed participating children could be anxious initially and open sub-optimally, but after the first attempt and relaxation they were more likely to open maximally. More than 2 attempts might also cause muscle fatigue and give incorrect measurements²³.

The present study observed no significant statistical difference in MMO between males and females across all the age groups. This is in agreement with some studies among children^{2,21,33} and contrasts with those among older age groups^{23,24,27}.

The relationship between MMO and stature (height and weight) remains controversial with some authors reporting strong correlation^{13,34} and others showing weak^{21,35} or no correlation^{12,36}. Our study demonstrated a significant correlation between age, body weight and height but a weak correlation between MMO values in relation to height and weight in all age groups²¹. Children are yet to fully express the effect of functional forces that may influence jaw growth differently and this may partly explain the weak correlation between MMO, weight and height that is noted in this study.

Conclusion

In this study, we have established the average MMO for the paediatric group in our population. This will help practitioners whose care involves the stomatognathic system to have information about normal range of mouth opening and thus avoid excessive mouth opening in this group of patients.

Limitation of the study

MMO has been shown to have ethnic differences and we studied one out of several hundreds of ethnic groups in Nigeria, therefore broad generalization of the result is not possible. However, the results may form a reference in this environment for future studies.

References

1. Akinbami BO. Evaluation of the mechanism and principles of management of Temporomandibular joint dislocation. Systematic review of literature and a proposed new classification of Temporomandibular joint dislocation. *Head Face Med.* 2011; 7: 10
2. Kumar A, Mehta R, Goel M, Dutta S, Hooda A. Maximal mouth opening in India children using a new method. *J Cranio max Dis.* 2012; 1: 79-86
3. Kiliaridis S, Georgiakaki I, Katsaros C. Masseter muscle thickness and maxillary dental arch width. *Eur J Orthod.* 2003; 25: 259-263
4. Charalampidou M, Kjellberg H, Georgiakaki I, Kiliaridis S. Masseter muscle thickness and mechanical advantage in relation to vertical craniofacial morphology in children. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2008; 66: 23-30.
5. de Oliveira AS, Dias EM, Contato RG, Berzin F. Prevalence study of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorder in Brazilian college students. *Braz Oral Res.* 2006; 20: 3-7
6. Yao KT, Lin CC, Hung CH. Maximum mouth opening of ethnic Chinese in Taiwan. *J Dent Sci.* 2009; 4: 40-44.
7. Khare N, Patil BS, Kale SM, Sumeet J, Sonali I, Sumeet B. Normal Mouth Opening in an Adult Indian Population *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2012; 11: 309-313.
8. Chima O, Obiechina AE. Mouth opening among Nigerians. *Odontostomatol Trop* 1995; 18: 22-24
9. Dosumu OO, Ibeabuchi NM, Arotiba JT, Arotiba GT. The relationship between maximal mouth opening and age, height and weight in Nigerians. *Nig Dent J* 2008; 16:91-93
10. Ogura T, Morinushi T, Ohno H, Sumi K, Hatada K. An epidemiological study of TMJ dysfunction syndrome in adolescents. *J Pedod.* 1985; 10: 22-35.
11. Dueñas GAF, Echeverría ALM, Ruiz CEG, Atocha HR, Peralta MS, Pech AR. Range of mandibular movement in six-year old children with early mixed dentition. *Revista Odontológica Mexicana* 2015; 19: 33-37
12. Cortese SG, Oliver LM, Biondi AM. Determination of range of mandibular movements in children without temporomandibular disorders. *Cranio.* 2007; 25: 200-205.
13. Landtwing K. Evaluation of the normal range of vertical mandibular opening in children and adolescents with special reference to age and stature. *J Maxillofac Surg.* 1978; 6: 157- 162.
14. Bonjardim L, Duarte M. Mandibular movements in children with and without signs and symptoms old temporomandibular disorders. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2004; 12: 39-44.
15. Sousa LM. Evaluation of mandibular range of motion in Brazilian children and its correlation age, height, weight, and gender. *Braz Oral Res.* 2008; 22 : 61-66
16. Ying QV, Bacic J, Abramowicz S, Sonis A. Cross sectional: normal maximal incisal opening and associations with physical variables in children *Pediatr Dent* 2013; 35: 61-66
17. Zawawi KH, Al-Badawi EA, Lobo SL, Melis M, Mehta NR. An index for the measurement of normal maximum mouth opening. *J Can Dent Assoc.* 2003; 69: 737-741

18. Casanova-Rosado AJ, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Patiño-Marín N, Maupomé G, Gómez-Gómez V. Clinical characterization of mouth opening among Mexican adolescents and young adults. *J Dent Sci.* 2012; 7: 81- 84.
19. Müller L, van Waes H, Langerweger C, Molinari L, Saurenmann RK. Maximal mouth opening capacity: Percentiles for healthy children 4-17 years of age. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* 2013; 11: 17.
20. Wood GD, Branco JA. A comparison of three methods of measuring maximal opening of the mouth. *J Oral Surg.* 1979; 37: 175-177
21. Abou-Atme YS, Chedid N, Melis M, Zawawi KH. Clinical measurement of normal maximum mouth opening in children. *Cranio* 2008; 26: 191-196
22. Hagberg C. Self-registration of Mandibular Opening Capacity and Vertical Overbite. A Method Study. *J Orofac Pain.* 2003; 17: 341-346
23. Sawair FA, Hassoneh YM, Al-Zawawi BM, Baqain ZH. Maximum mouth opening, Associated factors and dental significance. *Saudi Med J.* 2010; 31: 369-373
24. Shahab AH, Reiadh KA, Handreen MA. The Normal Range of Mouth Opening in Kurdish Population and its Correlation to Age , Sex , Height , and Weight. *Zanco J. Med. Sci.* 2010; 14: 1-6
25. Al-Dlaigan YH, Asiry MA. Maximum Mouth Opening in Saudi Adolescents. *J Int Oral Health* 2014; 6: 45-49
26. Meztis M, Rallis G, Zachariades N. The normal range of mouth opening. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1989; 47: 1028- 1034.
27. Gallagher C, Gallagher V, Whelton H, Cronin M. The normal range of mouth opening in an Irish population. *J Oral Rehab.* 2004; 31: 110-116.
28. Naeije M. Local kinematic and anthropometric factors related to the maximum mouth opening in healthy individuals. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2002; 29: 534-539.
29. Al-Tuhafi AA. Assessment of mouth opening limitation in myogenic temporomandibular disorder patients. *Al-Rafidain Dent J.* 2005; 5: 180-184
30. Dijkstra PU, de Bont LGM, Stegnenga B, Boering G. Angle of mouth opening measurement: Reliability of a technique for temporomandibular joint mobility assessment. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1995; 22: 263-272.
31. Cattoni DM, Fernandes MDF, Di Francesco RC, de Oliveira Latorre MR. Maximum interincisal distance in mouth breathing children. *Dental Press J. Orthod.* 2009; 14: 125-131
32. Sohail A, Amjad A. The range of inter-incisal opening among university students of Ajman , UAE. *Pakistan Oral and Dental Journal.* 2011; 31: 37-41
33. Sousa LM, Nagamine HM, Chaves TC, Grossi DB, Regalo SC, Oliveira AS. Evaluation of mandibular range of motion in Brazilian children and its correlation to age, height, weight, and gender. *Braz Oral Res.* 2008; 22: 61-66.
34. Westling L, Helkimo E. Maximum jaw opening capacity in adolescents in relation to general joint mobility. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1992; 19: 485- 494.
35. Agerberg G. Maximal mandibular movements in young men and women. *Sven Tandlak Tidskr.* 1974; 67: 81-100
36. Solberg WK, Woo MW, Houston JB: Prevalence of mandibular dysfunction in young adults. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1979; 98: 25-34.