

Ameloblastoma with Infratemporal Extension: A Review of the Literature *Aliyu OO, **Adegbayi AA

*Nigerian Navy Medical Centre, Onne, Rivers State, Nigeria

**Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria.

Correspondence: Adegbayi AA

Email: aadeadekunle01@gmail.com

Abstract

Ameloblastomas are benign tumors of odontogenic epithelium. They are locally aggressive with the tendency to recur, and sometimes with metastatic behavior. Recurrences often happen due to incomplete treatment and they can occur at difficult sites such as temporal and infratemporal fossa. Recurrences in the temporal area are very rare and are related to the type of primary treatment.

Aim: This literature review aims to answer the question on how common recurrent ameloblastoma extends to the infratemporal fossa and how this is related to the site of the primary lesion.

Materials and methods: Web search for case reports, and case series of ameloblatoma with temporal, infratemporal extension, published in the English literature were carried out. Search results were further scrutinised for age, sex, location of lesion, histology, treatment modalities, and recurrence, following the adopted treatment modalities and treatment outcome.

Result: A total 15 full length articles were included in this study. Twelve were case reports and three were case series. Of 28 patients with ameloblastoma in the articles, only 22 were recorded to have presented with ameloblastoma with infratemporal or temporal fossa involvement. All the cases of ameloblastoma involving the infratemporal/temporal fossa were recurrent tumors and the average time from first surgical intervention to recurrence was 11.36 years. Most of the primary cases were seen in the mandible (73%) with the body/ramus region being the commonest location. Only five cases were reported to be primarily maxillary ameloblastoma.

Conclusion; This review has shown that temporal/infratemporal extension of ameloblastoma occurs commonly with recurrent lesions, although the overall reported incidence is relatively low. Aggressive primary tumor resection, especially for extensive mandibular lesions, may be key to preventing this tumor extension.

Keywords: Ameloblastoma, temporal, infratemporal extension



Introduction

Ameloblastomas odontogenic lesions are characterised by local invasiveness and the potential for direct involvement of vital structures with high tendency for recurrence, leading to extensive local morbidity and mortality. Ameloblastoma is the second most common odontogenic tumor of the jaws. It commonly occurs in the mandible and in the third to fifth decades of life. 1-9 Eighty percentage of ameloblastomas arise in the mandible, it infrequently involves the maxilla^{2,7}. Only about 5 to 20% occur in the maxillary bone, with majority of these occuring in the molar region.^{10,11} Some authors reported no gender predilection, 4,12,13 some others reported male predilection,^{1,2} while others documented female predilection.3

Due to its tendency to cause extensive destruction of jaw bones, various treatment modalities of "conservative" and "radical" surgery have been described. 14,15 Conservative surgical approaches may be favored due to benign histology, however, these treatment modalities have very high recurrence rates (90% for mandibular tumors, 100% for maxillary tumors).9,15 Recurrences often occur due to incomplete treatment and they can occur at difficult sites such as temporal and infratemporal fossa, orbit, anterior cranial base, paranasal sinuses, etc.^{7,16-19} Recurrences in the temporal area are very rare and are related to the type of primary treatment. Most of the studies done on the temporal and/or intra cranial extension of ameloblastoma are mostly case reports and case series with little or no reviews of cases so far published. This literature review therefore aims to answer the question on how common recurrent ameloblastoma extends to the infratemporal fossa, and how this is related to the site of the primary lesion.

Materials and methods

We conducted systematic searches for published articles in PubMed (NLM), Cochrane, Ovid Medline, and OpenGrey databases up till December 2021 using the keywords: "ameloblastoma," "temporal," and "infratemporal extension." Additional searches for relevant studies were done via the following methods: hand-search of the reference section of eligible studies and purposeful Google Scholar searches. Only articles written in English or with English language translations were considered for the review. Both authors independently screened the titles and abstracts (when available) of all reports identified through electronic searches. The search was designed to be sensitive to include all available studies. For studies appearing to meet the inclusion criteria, or for which there was insufficient data in the title and abstract to make a clear decision, we obtained the full report. The full reports were also independently assessed by the two authors to establish whether the publication met the inclusion criteria or not. Disagreements were resolved through discussion between the two authors.

This search returned 37 articles in PubMed and 207 articles in PubMed Central. The initial



screening process resulted in 29 articles and these articles were retrieved and reviewed for relevance of content by the two authors (OAO and AAA). A total of 16 full articles were included in the final list for review (Table 1).

commonest location. Only 5 cases were reported to be primarily maxillary ameloblastoma.

Data retrieved from search results included number of patients, age, gender, location of lesion and histology, treatment modalities carried out, any recurrence following the adopted treatment modalities, and treatment outcomes. Furthermore, other odontogenic tumours such as KCOT, ameloblastic fibroma, adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, etc. were excluded. Articles with cases more than two were adopted as case series.

Result

Out of the 15 papers found in the literature, 12 were case reports and 3 were case series. The total number of patients with ameloblastoma in the review was 28. However, only 22 were recorded to have presented with ameloblastoma with infratemporal or temporal fossa involvement and reviewed for this study. Sixty four percent (n = 14/22) were females, and their ages ranged between 18-73 years (mean = 43.10, SD ± 17.39). All the cases of ameloblastoma involving the infratemporal/temporal fossa were recurrent tumors and the average time from first surgical intervention to recurrent lesion/involving the infratemporal/temporal fossa was 11.36 years. Seventy-three percent of the cases with infratemporal/temporal extension were found in the mandible (n = 16/22), with body/ramus region being the



Table 1. Case Series and Case Reports of Ameloblastoma and Temporal/Infratemporal Extension

Author	Title	Type of report	No of patients	No of Primary patients location	Secondary	No of patients with infratemporal/temporal extension	Initial treatment	Time to temporal involve ment	Treatment outcome	Age	Sex	Remarks
Zwahlen et al., 2002 ¹¹	Maxillary ameloblastom as: a review of the literature and of a 15- year database	S	5	Maxilla	maxilla		resection	NA A	6yrs follow up	26	Į.	Ameloblas toma?
				Maxilla	ethmoid Sphenoid			NA		33	ſΤ	
				Maxilla	temporal	1		0.17		73	H	
				Maxilla				NA		42	M	
				Maxilla				NA		44	Σ	
Weiss et al., 1985 ²⁰	Maxillary Ameloblastom a with Orbital Invasion A	CR	-	Maxilla	infratempo	-	resection	8	6 yrs and died same yr	72	\mathbb{W}	follicular

Nigerian Dental Journal Vol. 30 No. 2 Jul.-Dec. 22

20



	Clinicopatholo				ral and							
	gic Study				sphenoidal							
To et al., 2002 ¹⁸	Recurrent Ameloblastom a Presenting in the Temporal Fossa	CR		Mandible	temporal		curettage, resection	25	2.5 yrs followup	18	[고	Ameloblas toma?
Al-Bayaty et al., 2002 ¹⁷	Soft Tissue Recurrence of a Mandibular Ameloblastom a Causing Facial Deformity in the Temporal Region: Case	CR	_	Mandible	temporal	-	resection	4	tumour free 2yrs follow-up	32	<u>ir.</u>	follicular
Faras et al., 2016 ²¹	Multi- recurrent invasive ameloblastom a: A surgical challenge	CR		Mandible	infratempo ral		repeated	23	NA	56	Ţ	follicular



)												
Sharma et al.,	Recurrent	CR	1	Mandible	temporal	1	enucleatio	2.6	NA	20	ഥ	follicular
2009^{22}	Unicystic						n and later					
	Ameloblastom						resection					
	a of the											
	Infratemporal											
	and Temporal											
	Fossa											
Auluck et al.,	Recurrent	CR		Mandible	infratempo	1	resection	9	NA	44	Щ	follicular
200716	ameloblastom				ral							
	a of the											
	infratemporal											
	fossa:											
	diagnostic											
	implications											
	and a review											
	of the											
	literature											
Ferretti et al.,	Recurrent	CR	1	Mandible	temporal	-	resection	1.5	2 yrs tumour free	50	M	ameloblast
2000^{23}	Ameloblastom											oma
	a Report of 2											
	Cases											
				Mandible	temporal	1	resection	25	3 vrs tumour free	42	Σ	ameloblast
			•		June	•		ì		<u>!</u>		oma



Scaccia et al., Ma 1991 ²⁴ An a C	Maxillary CS	-	M						ţ	A see all alon
		1	Maxilla	ethmoidal, 1	resection	NA	2 yrs follow-up	16	ĭ,	Amelobias
a C	Ameloblastom			sphenoidal,						toma
	a Case Report			infra						
				temporal						
				and						
				intracranial						
		1	Maxilla	ethmoidal,	resection	NA	2 yrs. follow-up	99	\mathbf{Z}	
				sphenoidal,						
		1	Maxilla	infra		17	Recurrence after 2	53	\mathbb{Z}	
				temporal			yrs			
				and intracranial						
		-	Maxilla	infra 1	resection	2	2 yrs tumour free	36	ഥ	Ameloblas
				temporal						toma
				and						
				intracranial						
) athmoidal						
				sphenoidal,						
Luc et al., 1988 ²⁵ Lat	Late Ioco- CS	5	mandible	Maxilla 1	Resections	NA	Recurrence	51	ഥ	Ameloblas
reg	regional									toma
rec	recurrences									
aftı	after radical									
res	resection for									
ma	mandibular									



See See		200	A D
	/N =	5	/

s 49 F Ameloblas toma	ce after 2 46 M Ameloblas toma	ces and 67 M Ameloblas toma	ces and 50 F Ameloblas 5,1 yr toma ee	ow-up 56 M Follicular Amelolast
18 months	Recurrence after 2 yrs	Recurrences and resections	Recurrences and resections ,1 yr tumour free	2 yrs follow-up
29	NA	∞	. 58	N A
Resection	Resections	hemimandi bulectomy including the condyle	hemimandi bulectomy including the condyle and coronoid	Resection
1		-	-	-
Infratempo ral	maxilla	infratempo ral	temporal	
mandible	mandible	Mandibul ar angle	Mandibul ar angle	Mandible
				-
				CR
				Recurrent Ameloblastom a: A Surgical
				Aramanadka et al., 2018 ²⁶



Ameloblas ameloblast Ameloblas Ameloblas Follocular toma toma toma oma Σ \geq \geq 25 45 32 65 recurrence and died femur mets chemo tumour free in 1.5 43 yrs later NA $_{\rm A}^{\rm N}$ yrs 19 13 ibulectomy Hemimand hemimandi bulectomy resections resections resection, intracranial intracranial Mandible Infratempo and femur Mandible temporal temporal mandible temporal and ral mandible CRCRAmeloblastom CR ameloblastom ameloblastom a in temporal Metastasis A Oka et al., 1986⁷ Mandibular intracranial Intracranial Case Study diagnostic Vaishampayan et Recurrent Mandible dilemma fossa: A a of the a with With Phillips et al., al., 2014^4

extension and

distant

metastasis



CR- case report; CS – case series



Discussion

In this review, 22 out of 28 patients were recorded to have presented with ameloblastoma with infratemporal or temporal fossa involvement. The initial site of involvement for majority was the mandible (73 percent) with the mandibular body and ramus being the most affected. The increased prevalence of infratemporal and temporal involvement of mandibular lesions compared to maxillary lesions could be attributed to higher prevalence of ameloblastoma in the mandible than in maxilla as reported in the literature.

Furthermore, 12 of the articles selected for this study were case studies while 3 articles accounted for case series with no cohort study. This could be due to rarity of this ameloblastoma with temporal and intra cranial extension. All reported cases involving the infratemporal/ temporal fossa were recurrent tumors and the average time from first surgical intervention to recurrent lesion involving the infratemporal/ temporal fossa was 11.36 years. Recurrence may be attributed to factors such as inadequate tumor removal, "seeding", aggressive histology, and along the muscle attachment. 17,23 spread Treatment of recurrence often mandates extensive ablative and reconstructive surgery with inherent morbidity, even in expert hands.^{4,16} Recurrences of ameloblastoma often occur at difficult sites, and has been documented to recur in sites such as temporal and infratemporal fossa, orbit, anterior cranial base, paranasal sinuses etc. 16-19,11, 28, 29

Due to the tendency of ameloblastoma to cause

extensive destruction of jaw bones, various treatment modalities of conservative" and "radical" surgery have been described.28 Other treatments described in literature include electrocautery, cryosurgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy.^{3,7,13} Conservative surgical approach has been reported to have very high recurrence rates (90% for mandibular tumors, 100% for maxillary tumors).4 The gold standard of care for ameloblastoma is complete surgical excision; aggressive surgical resection is advocated in patients with maxillary ameloblastoma to ensure recurrence-free outcome. 13 Although some authors have reported successful results with radiotherapy, 30,31 its use is however considered more in inoperable cases, primarily in the posterior maxilla.31 Furthermore, chemotherapy as treatment modality has also been employed for inoperable lesions.³ It is important to know that spread of the lesion from the infratemporal fossa and temporal region to adjacent involve structures to the pterygopalatine fossa or maxillary sinus, the skull base, and into the intracranial cavity or orbit makes radical surgical treatment more difficult.¹⁶ Nastri et al³ reported preoperative radiographic evidence of tumour in all of the cases in which surgical treatment failed to control the tumour, suggesting residual lesion. Therefore, early and aggressive surgical treatment is key in the management of ameloblastoma.

Treatment of maxillary ameloblastoma is inherently more difficult compared to its mandibular counterpart.¹³ This is reported to be



due to the insidious nature of the lesion within the thin bones and hollow spaces of the midfacial bones, as the tumor easily spreads to the skull base, and, occasionally, may extend into orbit and/or the intracranial cavity by destroying the bones.⁴ Numerous surgical approaches have been employed to access the infratemporal region, some of them being the coronal,²³ transoral, trans nasal, trans palatine, trans zygomatic, trans cervical, and extended maxillectomy approach. 16,26 Others include subtemporal epidural approach, and combined transcranial and transcervical approach.³² The surgical approach to the lesion is often determined by clinical presentation, extent and location, as well as histopathological findings.¹⁶ In addition, involvement of adjacent tissues requires collaborative surgical care¹³ that would be provided by the oral and maxillofacial surgeons, otolaryngologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons, ophthalmologists, and neurosurgeons.

This review has shown that temporal/infratemporal extension of ameloblastoma occurs commonly with recurrent lesions, although the overall reported incidence is relatively low. Aggressive primary tumor resection, especially for extensive mandibular lesions may be key to preventing this tumor extension.

References

 Olaitan AA, Adeola DS, Adekeye EO. Ameloblastoma: clinical features and management of 315 cases from Kaduna, Nigeria. *J Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg*. 1993;21(8):351-355. doi:10.1016/S1010-

- 5182(05)80497-4
- Ladeinde AL, Ogunlewe MO, Bamgbose BO, Adeyemo WL, Akinwande JA. Ameloblastoma: Analysis of 207 cases in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Quintessence Int. 2006;37(1):69-74.
- 3. Nastri AL, Wiesenfeld D, Radden BG, Eveson J, Scully C. Maxillary ameloblastoma: a retrospective study of 13 cases. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1995;33(1):28-32. doi:10.1016/0266-4356(95)90082-9
- 4. Vaishampayan SS, Nair D, Patil A, Chaturvedi P. Recurrent ameloblastoma in temporal fossa: A diagnostic dilemma. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2013;4(2):220-222. doi:10.4103/0976-237X.114852
- Kyriazis AP, Karkazis GC, Kyriazis AA.
 Maxillary ameloblastoma with intracerebral extension. Report of a case.
 Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.
 1971;32(4):582-587. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(71)90323-9
- 6. Komisar A. Plexiform Ameloblastoma of the Maxilla with Extension to the Skull Base. *Head Neck Surg.* 1984;7:172-175.
- Oka K, Fukui M, Yamashita M, et al. Mandibular ameloblastoma with intracranial extension and distant metastasis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 1986;88(4):303-309.
- 8. Daramola J, Abioye A, Ajagbe H, Aghadiuno P. Maxillary malignant ameloblastoma with intraorbital extension: report of case. *J Oral Surg*



- (Chic). 1980;38:203-6.
- Reichart PA, Philipsen HP, Sonner S. Ameloblastoma: Biological profile of 3677 cases. Eur J Cancer Part B Oral Oncol. 1995;31(2):86-99. doi:10.1016/0964-1955(94)00037-5
- 10. Luo Q, Diao W, Luo L, Zhang Y. Comparisons of the Computed Tomographic Scan and Panoramic Radiography Before Mandibular Third Molar Extraction Surgery. *Med Sci Monit*. 2018;24:3340-3347. doi:10.12659/MSM.907913
- 11. Zwahlen RA, Gratz KW. Maxillary ameloblastomas: a review of the literature and of a 15-year database. *J Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg.* 2002;20:273-279. doi:10.1054/jcms.2002.0317
- 12. Rauso R, Tartaro G, Gherardini G, Puglia F, Santagata M, Colella G. Recurrence of ameloblastoma in temporal area: primary treatment influences recurrence rate. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery. 2010;21(3):887-891. doi:10.1097/SCS.0b013e3181d80a1a = ruso
- 13. Maia EC, Sandrini FAL. Management techniques of ameloblastoma: a literature review. *RGO Rev Gaúcha Odontol*. 2017;65(1):62-69. doi:10.1590/1981-863720170001000093070
- 14. Adeyemo WL, Bamgbose BO, Ladeinde AL, Ogunlewe MO. Surgical management of ameloblastomas: Conservative or radical approach? A

- critical reviewof the literature. *Oral Surg*. 2008;1(1):22-27. doi:10.1111/j.1752-248X.2007.00007.x
- 15. Carlson ER, Marx RE. The ameloblastoma: Primary, curative surgical management. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2006;64(3):484-494. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2005.11.032
- 16. Auluck A, Shetty S, Desai R, Mupparapu M. Recurrent ameloblastoma of the infratemporal fossa: diagnostic implications and a review of the literature. Dentomaxillofacial Radiol. 2007;36:416-419. doi:10.1259/dmfr/45988074
- 17. Al-Bayaty HF, Murti PR, Thomson ERE, Niamat J. Soft tissue recurrence of a mandibular ameloblastoma causing facial deformity in the temporal region: Case report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2002;60(2):204-207. doi:10.1053/joms.2002.29826
- 18. To EWH, Tsang WM, Pang PCW. Recurrent ameloblastoma presenting in the temporal fossa. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2002;23(2):105-107. doi:10.1053/ajot.2002.30629
- Todd R, Gallagher GT, Kaban LB. Mass in the infratemporal fossa. In: *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics*. Vol 84. Mosby Inc.; 1997:116-118. doi:10.1016/S1079-2104(97)90054-8
- Weiss JS, Bressler SB, Jacobs EF,
 Shapiro J, Weber A, Albert DM.
 Maxillary Ameloblastoma with Orbital



- Invasion: A Clinicopathologic Study. *Ophthalmology*. 1985;92(5):710-713.
- 21. Faras F, Abo-Alhassan F, Israël Y, Hersant B, Meningaud JP. Multirecurrent invasive ameloblastoma: A surgical challenge. *International Journal* of Surgery Case Reports. 2017;30:43-45.
- 22. Sharma S, Kumar D, Vashistha A, Bihani U, Trehan M. Recurrent unicystic ameloblastoma of the infratemporal and temporal fossa. *International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry*. 2009;2(1):33.
- 23. Ferretti C, Polakow R, Coleman H, Dent M. Recurrent ameloblastoma: Report of 2 cases. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2000;58(7):800-804. doi:10.1053/joms.2000.7271
- 24. Scaccia FJ, Strauss M, Arnold J, Maniglia AJ. Maxillary ameloblastoma: case report. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*. 1991;12(1):20-25.
- 25. Luc JM, Mommaerts MY, Fossion E, Bossuyt M. Late loco-regional recurrences after radical resection for mandibular ameloblastoma. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*. 1988;17(5):310-315.
- 26. Aramanadka C, Kamath AT, Kudva A. Recurrent ameloblastoma: a surgical challenge. Case Reports in Dentistry. 2018;2018:1-7
- 27. Phillips SD, Corio RL, Brem H, Mattox

- D. Ameloblastoma of the mandible with intracranial metastasis: A case study. *Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*. 1992;118(8):861-863.
- 28. Pogrel MA, Montes D. Is there a role for enucleation in the management of ameloblastoma? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009;38(8):807-812.
- 29. Miloro M. Microneurosurgery. In: Miloro M, Ghali GE, Larsen PE, Waite P, eds. Peterson's Principles of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery . 2nd ed. BC Decker; 2004:819-827.
- 30. Mbarki I, Randriamarosona N, Touim SH, et al. Radiotherapy for large recurrent ameloblastoma of the mandible previously treated by surgery: A case report. *Int J Clin Case Reports Rev*. 2021;6(2):01-05. doi:10.31579/2690-4861/089
- 31.Gardner DG. Radiotherapy in the treatment of ameloblastoma. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1988;17(3):201-205.
- 32. Yoshida K, Kawase T, Tomita T, et al. Surgical Strategy for Tumors Located in or Extending From the Intracranial Space to the Infratemporal Fossa Advantages of the Transcranial Approach (Zygomatic Infratemporal Fossa Approach) and the Indications for a Combined Transcranial and Transcervi. Neurol Med Chir. 2009;49:580-586